

9210 Hwy. 14

Gray Court, SC 29645

**Grades** 6-8 Middle School

Enrollment 229 Students

PrincipalMarilyn Ramsey864-876-2171SuperintendentDr. Billy R. Strickland864-984-3568Board ChairMrs. Susan Calhoun-Ware864-682-5902

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANNUAL SCHOOL
REPORT CARD

# RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2013	Average	Excellent
2012	Average	Excellent
2011	Average	Average
2010	Average	Average
2009	Average	Average

#### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


### Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

97.7%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
0 1 42 13 0							

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/14/2014.



<sup>\*</sup> Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

## End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	95.0%	95.6%
English 1	95.0%	90.3%
Physical Science	N/A	N/A
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	95.0%	95.5%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=229)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	18.7%	Down from 21.3%	19.2%	24.6%
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 1.8%	0.9%	0.6%
Attendance rate	95.8%	Down from 96.0%	95.6%	95.9%
Served by gifted and talented program	21.1%	N/A	13.3%	18.5%
With disabilities	13.0%	N/A	14.2%	13.0%
Older than usual for grade	12.6%	N/A	6.2%	4.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 0.5%	0.7%	0.6%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=16)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Up from 46.7%	60.6%	61.5%
Continuing contract teachers	68.8%	Down from 93.3%	73.3%	77.2%
Teachers returning from previous year	90.1%	Up from 84.8%	84.6%	85.9%
Teacher attendance rate	92.1%	Up from 91.8%	94.5%	94.9%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,420	Up 5.2%	\$46,193	\$47,313
Professional development days/teacher	11.6 days	Down from 12.8 days	11.0 days	10.1 days
School				
Principal's years at school	14.0	No Change	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	23.4 to 1	Up from 20.7 to 1	20.8 to 1	22.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.4%	Down from 87.1%	88.7%	89.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.4%	99.1%	99.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,489	Up 9.3%	\$7,337	\$7,239
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	51.0%	Down from 52.4%	63.0%	63.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	51.0%	Down from 52.0%	60.0%	61.0%

Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

### Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

At Gray Court-Owings Middle School, we have been working diligently to prepare students for the 21st century. We want our students to be effective communicators, collaborators, critical thinkers, and creative individuals. Our focus for the school year has been on reading informational text. Some of the resources that we used to motivate student learning included Time for Kids, Reading for Real, and current event stories, such as KONY 2012 and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. We offered math and reading assistance to students struggling to meet grade level expectations. Algebra and Honors English classes were offered to eighth graders who excelled in mathematics and/or language arts. Our teachers set goals for increasing the number of students scoring Exemplary on the state assessment (PASS). They identified strategies that they would use to show improvement for each student. We incorporated technology into our lessons. Students participated in keyboarding classes and used Study Island and First in Math to reinforce their learning. Our business partner, Vulcan Materials, provided funding for student rewards, Also, our School Improvement Council (SIC) collected and donated incentives for students who showed improvement academically and for students who demonstrated good citizenship. Our school received the Palmetto Silver Award for outstanding student achievement and for closing the achievement gap. We recognize that it takes the entire community working together for our school to be successful! We will continue to utilize every resource available to help us provide equity and excellence in educational opportunities for each learner.

Dawn Eiff, SIC Chairperson

Marilyn Ramsey, Principal

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	15	64	43			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100%	89%	79%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100%	88.9%	77.3%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	86.7%	86%	77.3%			

<sup>\*</sup> Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

### ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	88.7
Overall Grade Conversion	В

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Α	
ccoun	
tability	
Indica <sup>-</sup>	
tor for	
Title	
I Sc	
hool	
S	

Gray Court-Owings	Middle School	echool has	heen (	decinnated ac a

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
✓	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	95.8%	94.0%*	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Or greater than last year

Gray Court-Owings Middle School 03/14/14-3055008							
Performance By Group							
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	
Grades 6-8							
All Students	636.8	636.5	622.4	635.3	100.0	100.0	
Male	633.8	632.0	622.1	638.9	100.0	100.0	
Female	639.6	640.6	622.6	632.2	100.0	100.0	
White	638.1	641.3	625.9	633.7	100.0	100.0	
African American	630.3	623.6	609.9	640.5	100.0	100.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Subsidized meals	633.1	633.4	616.7	626.9	100.0	100.0	
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	628.0	628.0	628.0	628.0	95.0	95.0	

Gray Court-Owings Middle School							
PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Enalisl	n/Language A	ırts		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>~</b> I	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	5 6	72	100	30.9	35.3	33.8	69.1
	7	78	100	34.2	41.1	24.7	65.8
	8	68	100	15.3	40.7	44.1	84.7
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
70	6 7	84	100	30	51.3	18.8	70
	7	68	100	32.3	41.5	26.2	67.7
	8	74	100	36.4	36.4	27.3	63.6
			M	lathematics			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2(	6 7	72	100	22.1	48.5	29.4	77.9
		78	100	17.8	60.3	21.9	82.2
	8	68	100	18.6	44.1	37.3	81.4
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	6 7	84	100	27.5	60	12.5	72.5
		68	100	30.8	43.1	26.2	69.2
	8	74	100	24.2	56.1	19.7	75.8
				Science			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2012	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2(	6	35	100	14.7	73.5	11.8	85.3
	7	78	100	17.8	60.3	21.9	82.2
	8	33	100	16.7	40	43.3	83.3
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2013	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	6	44	100	42.9	47.6	9.5	57.1
	7	67	100	23.4	57.8	18.8	76.6
	8	37	100	30.3	42.4	27.3	69.7

City Court Chings Initialis Control									
PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
Social Studies									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2012	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<b>5</b> (	5 6	38	100	25.7	65.7	8.6	74.3		
	7	78	100	26	54.8	19.2	74		
	8	35	100	10.3	34.5	55.2	89.7		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2013	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<b>5</b> (		41	100	17.9	59	23.1	82.1		
	7	68	100	33.8	30.8	35.4	66.2		
	8	37	100	18.2	33.3	48.5	81.8		
Writing									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2012	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2(	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	67	100	23.7	45.8	30.5	76.3		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2013	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	6	82	98.8	26.3	56.3	17.5	73.8		
	7	69	98.6	18.5	49.2	32.3	81.5		
	8	72	100	25.8	51.5	22.7	74.2		